# **Ptolemy Relation and Friends**

Anna Felikson

To Andrei Zelevinsky who would have turned 70 now

# 1 Prelude: Ptolemy's Theorem

We start with a theorem known since Ptolemy (Claudius Ptolemaeus, 2nd century AD), who used it to create his table of chords with the aim of applying it in astronomy. The statement reads as follows:

Given a cyclic Euclidean quadrilateral ABCD, one has  $AB \cdot CD + BC \cdot AD = AC \cdot BD$ .

When ABCD is a rectangle, Ptolemy's theorem turns into the Pythagoras theorem.



Figure 1: Ptolemy (left) and Ptolemy's theorem (right). The image in the left: Illustration by unknown author to "Les Vrais Pourtraits et vies des Hommes Illustres", by Andre Thevet, 1584. ⓒ The Trustees of the British Museum.

In recent decades, identities similar to the one in the Ptolemy's theorem started to pop up in many fields in connection to the notion of cluster algebras introduced and studied since 2000 by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ1, FZ2]. In this brief note we will try to describe several animals from this big and rich zoo.

## 2 Plücker relations

Consider the Grassmannian  $Gr_{2,n} = \{V \mid V \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \dim V = 2\}$  of 2-dimensional subspaces in the real *n*-dimensional space. A 2-dimensional subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  can be described by two *n*-dimensional vectors  $(a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}), i = 1, 2$  spanning the subspace, i.e. by a  $2 \times n$  matrix of rank 2. Denote  $p_{ij} = det \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} & a_{1j} \\ a_{2i} & a_{2j} \end{pmatrix}$ , the Plücker coordinates on  $Gr_{2,n}$ . The set of these determinants (considered up to simultaneous scaling) completely defines the subspace V, so it provides coordinates on  $Gr_{2,n}$ . It is easy to show that  $p_{ij}$  satisfy the Plücker relation  $p_{ik}p_{jl} = p_{ij}p_{kl} + p_{jk}p_{ki}$ , for i < j < k < l.



Figure 2: Plücker coordinates, Plücker relation and a triangulation.

Now, let  $1, \ldots, n$  be the vertices of a regular *n*-gon (see Fig. 2). Assign  $p_{ij}$  to the diagonal of the *n*-gon connecting the vertices *i* and *j*. Then Plücker relation will look identical to the Ptolemy relation above.

How many Plücker coordinates are needed in order to specify a point in  $Gr_{2,n}$ ? Plücker relations say that one does not need all of  $p_{ij}$ . More precisely, given a triangulation of the *n*-gon (see Fig. 2, right) the Plücker coordinates associated to the diagonals of the triangulation and the sides of the polygon are sufficient to compute all other Plücker coordinates by applying Plücker relations several times.

## 3 Conway-Coxeter Frieze Patterns

A frieze pattern is a grid of positive integers as in Fig. 3 with n+2 rows, where the first and last rows consist of 1's and every four numbers in every small diamond  $a \stackrel{b}{c} d$  satisfy the following diamond rule: ad - bc = 1.

		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1			
····	2 2 1	1	1 1 2	2 3	3 7 2	11 5	4 8 3	3 5	1 2 2	1	2 1 1	$\frac{3}{2}$	2 7 3	5 11	3 8 4	5 3	2 2 1	1	1 1 2	····	$egin{array}{c} b \\ c \end{array}$
		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1			ad - bc = 1

Figure 3: A frieze with a highlighted diamond and zig-zags of 1's.

Conway and Coxeter [CC1, CC2] showed that every frieze pattern is periodic. Moreover, if one starts with two boundary rows of 1's and a connecting zig-zag of 1's, one can always build a frieze pattern by reconstructing the entries one by one using the diamond rule. And the frieze constructed in this way will be always periodic, with period n + 3, and will always consist of positive integers.

We will now associate the diagonals of an (n + 3)-gon to entries of the frieze pattern (see Fig. 4) so, that the boundary edges of the polygon will correspond to entries in the first and last rows of the frieze, shifting along the frieze to the right will correspond to a clockwise rotation of the polygon, and the adjacent entries of a diamond in the frieze correspond to diagonals with one common vertex and the other vertex shifted by one position. A zig-zag of 1's will in this way correspond to assigning 1's to the diagonals in some triangulation of the polygon (and every triangulation will correspond to a set of entries from which the rest of the frieze can be found by the diamond rule).



Figure 4: Entries of the frieze and diagonals of the polygon.

Notice that the diamonds in a frieze correspond to four diagonals in the polygon. More precisely, labelling the vertices of the polygon 1, 2, 3, ..., n clockwise, we get diagonals of the quadrilateral i, i+1, j, j+1. So, assuming that the entries of the frieze are assigned to the corresponding diagonals, we see that the diamond rule is exactly taking the shape of the Ptolemy's identity (given that the boundary sides of the polygon are assigned 1's).

If there existed a cyclic triangulated Euclidean polygon with all sides of length 1 and all diagonals in the triangulation also of length 1, the entries of the frieze would represent Euclidean lengths of all the diagonals of the polygon. However, there are no Euclidean polygons with unit sides and unit diagonals in a triangulation. Luckily, one can overcome this by considering ideal hyperbolic polygons instead of Euclidean ones.

### 4 Hyperbolic Ptolemy and triangulated surfaces

Recall that the upper half-plane model of hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid Im(z) > 0\}$  with metric given by  $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$ . In this model, geodesics are represented by half-lines and half-circles orthogonal to the real axis. Applying the map  $f(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$  one can transform the upper half-plane model to the Poincaré disc model in the unit disc. See for example [S] for more detail.

Let  $A, B \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$  be two points at the boundary of the hyperbolic plane. The hyperbolic distance between them is infinite, but the infinity is concentrated around  $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$  and can be dealt with by using horocycles as follows (a *horocycle* is a limit of a circle as the centre approaches  $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ , in the upper halfplane model of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , a horocycle centred at  $\infty$  is represented by a horizontal line, horocycles centred at other points are represented by circles tangent to  $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ ). Choose horocycles  $h_A$  and  $h_B$  centred at A and B (see Fig. 5 for the pictures in the upper half-plane and in the disc models). Let  $l_{AB}$  be the signed distance between the horocycles  $h_A$  and  $h_B$  ( $l_{AB}$  is zero when the horocycles are tangent and negative when the horocycles intersect each other). Denote  $\lambda_{AB} = e^{l_{AB}/2}$ , the *lambda length* of AB.

An *ideal* polygon in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is a polygon with all vertices at  $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ . Given an ideal quadrilateral *ABCD* and a choice of horocycles around each of the vertices, one can prove that the lambda lengths for *ABCD* satisfy Ptolemy identity [Pen]:

$$\lambda_{AB} \cdot \lambda_{CD} + \lambda_{BC} \cdot \lambda_{DA} = \lambda_{AC} \cdot \lambda_{BD}.$$



Figure 5: Lambda-lengths: removing infinity by horocycles

It is easy to show that given a, b, c > 0, one can construct (in a unique way up to isometry) an ideal hyperbolic triangle with a choice of horocycles at its vertices such that  $a = \lambda_{BC}, b = \lambda_{AC}, c = \lambda_{AB}$ . Also, triangles can be attached to each other along the edges with the same lambda lengths. Therefore, given a triangulated polygon with positive numbers assigned to its sides and diagonals in the triangulation, one can construct an ideal hyperbolic polygon with horocycles assigned to its vertices such that for every diagonal the assigned number will coincide with the corresponding lambda length. In particular, this means that every frieze pattern described above can be modelled by a hyperbolic polygon.

In a similar way, given a triangulated surface S and a set of positive numbers assigned to the arcs of the triangulation, one can define a unique hyperbolic structure (with a unique choice of horocycles at all vertices of the triangulation), so that the assigned numbers will coincide with the lambda lengths of the corresponding arcs. In other words, lambda lengths of arcs in a triangulation of S provide coordinates on the decorated Teichmüller space of S.

### 5 Friezes from surfaces

Let (S, M) be a surface S with a set of marked points M. We assume that it is possible to triangulate S so that the vertices of each triangle are marked points. Let E be the set of arcs on (S, M). One can generalise the definition of a frieze pattern from the settings of a polygon to a general marked surface in the following way.

A frieze  $\varphi$  from (S, M) is a map  $\varphi : E \to \mathbb{R}$  assigning a real number  $\varphi(\gamma)$  to every arc  $\gamma \in E$  in such a way that the Ptolemy relation holds for every quadrilateral on the surface. A frieze  $\varphi$  is

- *positive* if all numbers  $\varphi(\gamma)$  are positive;
- *integer* if all numbers  $\varphi(\gamma)$  are integers;
- unitary if there exists a triangulation T of (S, M) such that  $\varphi(\gamma) = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in T$ .

**Question:** Given a marked surface (S, M), is it true that every positive integer frieze from (S, M) is unitary?

When S is a disc with n boundary points (i.e. a polygon), Conway-Coxeter's theorem [CC1, CC2] gives a positive answer. So, one could expect this would be the case in general. However, it was shown in [FP] that the answer is negative for a punctured polygon (friezes of type D). Later, a positive answer was obtained for an annulus [GS] and for a pair of pants [CGFT]. Besides that, to our knowledge the question of unitarity of positive integer friezes from surfaces is open.

#### 6 **Fugue:** Cluster algebras

All instances appearing above can be described as various manifestations of cluster algebras. We will sketch the idea of cluster algebra and illustrate it by an example of cluster algebras arising from surfaces.

#### 6.1Quivers, seeds, clusters...

Quiver mutations. We start with a quiver Q, i.e. an oriented graph with finitely many vertices labelled  $1, \ldots, n$  and  $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  arrows from vertex *i* to vertex *j*. We assume that the quiver contains no loops  $(b_{ii} = 0)$  and no 2-cycles (here, p arrows from i to j are understood as -p arrows from j to i, so that  $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$ ). When  $b_{ij} = 1$ , we omit the label on the corresponding arrow of the quiver.

Next, we will pick a vertex k of the quiver and will define a mutation  $\mu_k$  of Q taking Q to  $Q' = \mu_k(Q)$ , which is only different from Q in a small neighbourhood of the vertex k and coincides with Q away from k. The effect of  $\mu_k$  on Q can be explained in two steps:

h

(1) reverse all arrows  
incident to the vertex k;  
(2) for every path 
$$i \stackrel{p}{\to} k \stackrel{q}{\to} j$$
  
with  $p, q > 0$  apply:  
 $k \stackrel{q}{\to} k \stackrel{\mu_k}{\to} p \stackrel{k}{\to} q$   
where  $r + r' = pq$ 

which corresponds to the following formula

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij}, & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ b_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



**Seed mutations.** A seed is a pair  $(Q, \mathbf{u})$ , where Q is a quiver and  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  is an n-tuple of algebraically independent rational functions  $u_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  in the variables  $x_i$ . A function  $u_i$  is associated with the vertex  $v_i$  of Q. In the *initial seed*, we assume  $u_i = x_i$ .

A mutation  $\mu_k$  in the direction k will take the seed  $(Q, \mathbf{u})$  to  $(Q', \mathbf{u}')$ , where  $Q' = \mu_k(Q)$  is obtained by the quiver mutation described above and  $\mathbf{u}' = (u'_1, \ldots, u'_n)$ , where  $u'_i = u_i$  for all  $i \neq k$  and

$$u_k' = \frac{\prod\limits_{i \to k} u_i^{b_{ik}} + \prod\limits_{j \leftarrow k} u_j^{b_{kj}}}{u_k},$$

where the products are taken over all vertices i such that there is an incoming (respectively outgoing) arrow  $i \to k$  (respectively  $j \leftarrow k$ ) with positive weight  $b_{ik} > 0$  (respectively,  $b_{kj} > 0$ ). The above relation is called *exchange relation*. Note that a mutation is an involution, i.e.  $\mu_k(\mu_k(Q, \mathbf{u})) = (Q, \mathbf{u})$ .



The functions  $u_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  obtained from  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  in the process of interated seed mutations are called *cluster variables*. The collection of cluster variables contained in one seed is called a *cluster*. Let  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$  be the field of rational functions in the variables  $x_i, i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ . The *cluster* algebra  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  is the Q-subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  generated by all cluster variables.



**Laurent Phenomenon ([FZ1]):** In a cluster algebra, every cluster variable  $u_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , i.e.  $u = \frac{P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{Q(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}$ , where  $Q(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = x_1^{d_1} \ldots x_n^{d_n}$  is a monomial.

### 6.2 Examples of cluster algebras

**Example 4** (Cluster algebra from triangulated surfaces). Given a triangulated surface, one can construct a quiver as shown below. Each vertex *i* of the quiver here corresponds to some arc  $e_i$  of the triangulation. It is easy to check that a mutation  $\mu_i$  of the quiver corresponds to a flip of the corresponding edge  $e_i$  in the triangulation.



For a triangulated surface, the part of the quiver Q adjacent to k (and thus defining the mutation  $\mu_k$ ) is as in Example 2, and the exchange relation turns into

$$u_k' = \frac{u_i u_j + u_l u_m}{u_k},$$

which is identical to Ptolemy (or to Plücker) relation.

Given a triangulated surface and initial values  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  assigned to the arcs of the triangulation, one can construct a unique hyperbolic surface with a choice of horocycles around vertices, so that  $x_i$  will coincide with the lambda lengths of the corresponding arcs. A sequence of iterated mutations of the initial seed will then correspond to applying a sequence of flips to a triangulation, cluster variables as functions of  $\{x_i\}$  will coincide with the lambda lengths of a flips to a triangulation. See [FST] and [FT].

**Example 5.** (Cluster algebra from Grassmannian  $G_{2,n}$ ). Given an *n*-gon *P* with a triangulation *T*, one can retell the same story as in Example 4. A quiver *Q* is built from the triangulation: the vertices of *Q* correspond to the diagonals and sides of *P*. A cluster variable associated to the diagonal connecting vertices *i* and *j* is now identified with the Plücker coordinate  $p_{ij}$ . The exchange relation takes the form  $p_{jl} = \frac{p_{ij}p_{kl}+p_{jk}p_{ki}}{p_{ik}}$  and can be identified with the Plücker relation. We obtain a cluster algebra in the ring of rational functions on  $G_{2,n}$ , where the clusters correspond to the collections of Plücker coordinates associated with diagonals in one triangulation.

**Example 6.** (Friezes and cluster category) Cluster categories were introduced in [BMRRT] to give a categorical interpretation of cluster algebras. For a cluster algebra associated with a triangulated polygon (with a good triangulation), a cluster category can be represented by a frieze where each entry is replaced by a finitely generated module over kQ (here Q is the quiver corresponding to the triangulation and k is an algebraically closed field). There is a tight connection between a cluster algebra and the corresponding cluster category, in particular, many results about cluster algebras were established using cluster categories. See [MG] for a review about friezes and [Pr] for a bridge to cluster categories.

### 6.3 Conclusion

Ptolemy's theorem is deeply tied with the recent theory of cluster algebras. Since the introduction of cluster algebras by Fomin and Zelevinsky, cluster algebras found connections and applications to a large range of domains in mathematics and mathematical physics. This includes (but is not exhausted by!) the following:



Links related to cluster algebras are collected on Cluster Algebras Portal [F] maintained by Sergey Fomin. One can start reading with [W], [M] and [FR].

**Remark 1.** Another train of results related to the Ptolemy relation arises from the Ptolemy inequality stating that for any quadrilateral ABCD in the Euclidean plane,  $AC \cdot BD \leq AB \cdot CD + BC \cdot AD$ . Metric spaces with this property are called *Ptolemy spaces*. A metric space is CAT(0) if and only if it is Ptolemy and Busemann convex, see [FLS].

# 7 Back to Ptolemy: proof without words

There are numerous proofs of Ptolemy's theorem and Ptolemy's inequality based on various ideas (similarity, inversion, triangle inequality, etc). To conclude, we provide a "proof without words" (appeared in [DH] and popularised by the cut-the-knot portal).



Figure 6: Ptolemy's theorem: **ef=ac+bd** 

**Acknowledgement.** The author is greateful to Sergei Tabachnikov for encouragement; to Pavel Tumarkin, Gil Bor and Evgeny Smirnov for helpful discussions and for useful suggestions on the early version of this note; and to İlke Çanakçı for her patience in explaining connections between clusters, freizes and cluster categories.

## References

- [BMRRT] A. Buan, B. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math., 204, n.2 (2006) 572–618. arXiv:math/0402054
- [CGFT] I. Çanakçı, A. Felikson, A. Garcia-Elsener, P. Tumarkin, *Friezes for a pair of pants*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 86B: 32. (2022). arXiv:2111.13135
- [CC1] J. Conway, H. S. M. Coxeter, Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns, Math. Gaz., 57, n.400, (1973), 87–94.
- [CC2] J. Conway, H. S. M. Coxeter, Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns, Math. Gaz., 57, n.401, (1973), 175–183.
- [DH] W. Derrick, J. Herstein, *Proof Without Words: Ptolemy's Theorem*, The College Mathematics Journal, v.43, n.5 (2012), 386.
- [F] A. Felikson, Ptolemy Relation and Friends: Resources, https://www.maths.dur.ac.uk/ users/anna.felikson/Projects/Ptolemy-res.html (webpage).
- [FLS] T. Foertsch, A. Lytchak, V. Schroeder, Non-positive curvature and the Ptolemy inequality, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2007), 1–15. arXiv:0705.1042
- [F] S. Fomin, Cluster Algebras Portal, http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~fomin/cluster. html
- [FR] S. Fomin, N. Reading, Root systems and generalized associahedra, Geometric Combinatorics (Park City, UT, 2003), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 14, pp. 63–131. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. arXiv:math/0505518
- [FST] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes, Acta Math. 201 (2008), 83–146. arXiv:math/0608367
- [FT] S. Fomin, D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part II: Lambda lengths, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 255 (2018), no. 1223, v+97. arXiv:1210.5569
- [FZ1] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 497–529. arXiv:math/0104151
- [FZ2] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), 1, 63–121. arXiv:math/0208229
- [FP] B. Fontain, P. Plamondon, Counting friezes in type  $D_n$ , J. Alg. Comb. 44.2 (2016), 433–445. arXiv:1409.3698
- [GS] E. Gunawan, R. Schiffler, Frieze vectors and unitary friezes, J. Comb, 11, n.4 (2020), 681–703. arXiv:1806.00940
- [M] B. R. Marsh, *Lecture Notes on Cluster Algebras*, Zurich lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [MG] S. Morier-Genoud, Coxeter's frieze patterns at the crossroads of algebra, geometry and combinatorics, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 47, n.6 (2015), 895–938. arXiv:1503.05049
- [Pen] R. Penner, *The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 113, n.2 (1987), 299–339.
- [Pr] M. Pressland, From frieze patterns to cluster categories, to appear in Modern Trends in Algebra and Representation Theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, Cambridge University Press, edited by David Jordan, Nadia Mazza and Sibylle Schroll, arXiv:2010.14302.
- [S] C. Series, *Hyperbolic Geometry*, Lecture notes, Warwick, 2013.
- [W] L. Williams, *Cluster algebras: an introduction*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (2014) n1, 1–26. arXiv:1212.6263.